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## Prelude

## Information transmission



## Information transmission



## Noisy memoryless channels

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} \ldots \\
\mathrm{p}\left(y_{\mathrm{i}} \mid x_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} y_{1} y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} \ldots \\
p \quad y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\left|x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} p \quad y_{j}\right| x_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Simple memoryless channels

- Binary symmetric channel (BSC)

- Binary erasure channel (BEC)
- Binary input additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channel, $\sigma^{2}$



## Channel capacity - BSC



## Channel capacity - BEC



## Channel capacity - BAWGN



## Error correction coding



- Message $m=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$
- Codeword $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$
- Received word $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$
- Code rate $R=\frac{k}{n}$
- The decoder tries to find $x$ (or $m$ ) from $y$ so that the probability of bit/codeword error is minimal.
- In other words, decoder tries to find a codeword "closest" to $y$.


## Error rate performance



## Maximum likelihood decoding



## Protecting information by coding

all words of length $n$

## Protecting information by coding

all words of length $n$
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## Minimum distance



## Protecting information by coding



## Linear block codes



## Dimension of a linear block code



## Encoding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=m_{1} g_{1}+m_{2} g_{2}+\ldots m_{k} g_{k} \\
& x=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
\vdots \\
g_{k}
\end{array}\right] \\
& x=m G \\
& G=\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
\vdots \\
g_{k}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear block codes as subspaces

- Given a GF(2) (ground field), we define the vector space - the $n$-tuple $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots v_{n}\right)$ of elements from the ground filed is a type of vector.
- Elias and Golay: A binary linear $(n, k)$ code $C$ is a $k$ dimensional subspace of a vector space Galois Field, GF(2).


## Parity check



## Parity check



## Parity check



## Syndrome



The UnIVESTY OF AREONA.

## Dual code $C^{\perp}$

- Let $x$ be a codeword

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x h_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}=0 \quad x h_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}=0 \quad x h_{n-k}^{\mathrm{T}}=0 \\
& H= {\left[\begin{array}{c}
h_{1} \\
h_{2} \\
\vdots \\
h_{n-k}
\end{array}\right] \text { parity check matrix } } \\
& x H^{\mathrm{T}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

- A received vector which is not a codeword results in a nonzero syndrome.

$$
y \neq x \Rightarrow y H^{\mathrm{T}} \neq 0
$$

## Linear constraints

- A codeword $x$ satisfies $v^{\cdot} H^{T}=0$
- $n$ - $k$ equations in $n$ variables
- Example:

$$
\left.H=\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{gathered}
c_{1}:
\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}
x_{1}+x_{4}+x_{6}+x_{7}=0 \\
c_{2}:
\end{gathered} x_{2}+x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}=0
$$

## Side observations

- Since $x H^{\mathrm{T}}=0$ for any codeword $x$.
- and since $x=m G$ it follows $G H^{\mathrm{T}}=0$
- $H$ can be found from $G$.
- For any $a, b \in\{0,1\} \quad x\left(a h_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}+b h_{j}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
H \\
a h_{i}+b h_{j}
\end{array}\right] \\
x H^{\prime^{\mathrm{T}}}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

- The parity check matrix can be modified by adding linear combinations of its rows.
- The ranks of any such new parity matrix is still $n-k$.


## LDPC code basics

## Applications of LDPC codes

- Wireless networks, satellite communications, deep-space communications, power line communications are among applications where the low-density parity check (LDPC) codes are the standardized. Standards include: Digital video broadcast over satellite (DVB-S2 Standard) and over cable (DVB-C2 Standard), terrestrial television broadcasting (DVB-T2, DVB-T2-Lite Standards), GEO-Mobile Radio (GMR) satellite telephony (GMR-1 Standard), local and metropolitan area networks (LAN/MAN) (IEEE 802.11 (WiFi)), wireless personal area networks (WPAN) (IEEE 802.15.3c ( 60 GHz PHY)), wireless local and metropolitan area networks (WLAN/WMAN) (IEEE 802.16 (Mobile WiMAX), near-earth and deep space communications (CCSDS), wire and power line communications ( ITU-T G.hn (G.9960)), utra-wide band technologies (WiMedia 1.5 UWB), magnetic hard disk drives, optical communications, flash memories.


## Outline

- Basics
- Error correction codes, linear block codes, parity check matrices, code graphs
- Decoding using local information, iterative decoders
- Decoders as finite-state dynamical systems, basins of attraction and decoding failures
- Failures of iterative decoders
- Correcting number of errors linear in code length
- Finite length analysis
- Trapping sets
- Code design
- Combinatorial designs and codes
- Quasi-cyclic codes designed from group-theoretic transforms, Latin squares, difference families, finite geometries


## Graphical model for a linear block code



## Definitions

- LDPC codes belong to the class of linear block codes which can be defined by sparse bipartite graphs.
- The Tanner graph of an LDPC code ${ }^{\mathcal{C}}$ is a bipartite graph $G$ with two sets of nodes:
- the set of variable nodes $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$
- and the set of check nodes $C=\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$



## Definitions

- The check nodes (variable nodes resp.) connected to a variable node (check node resp.) are referred to as its neighbors.
- The set of neighbors of a node $u$ is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(u)$
- The degree $d_{u}$ of a node $u$ is the number of its neighbors.



## Definitions

- A vector $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is a codeword if and only if for each check node, the modulo two sum of its neighbors is zero.
- An $(n, \gamma, \rho)$ regular LDPC code has a Tanner graph with $n$ variable nodes each of degree $\gamma$ and $n \gamma / \rho$ check nodes each of degree $\rho$.
- This code has length $n$ rate $r \geq 1-\gamma / \rho$
- The Tanner graph is not uniquely defined by the code and when we say the Tanner graph of an LDPC code, we only mean one possible graphical representation.


## An example of a regular $n=25 \gamma=3, \rho=5$ code

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lllll:lllll:lllll:lllll:lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$



## Iterative decoding



$\qquad$



## Message Passing Example: 1



## Message Passing Example: 1



2


## 3



## $4$



## 5



## $6$



## 7



## 8



## 9



Done!

## An unresolvable configuration



Stucked!

## Iterative decoders for BEC

## Iterative decoding on BEC



- erased bit correct bit
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## Decoding simulation



Hiflin ine Universiy of Arzona.

## BEC decoding simulation



- a check involving a single erased bit other check


## BEC simulation - 1


a check satisfied after correction

## BEC simulation - 2



Hiflin ine Universiy of Arzona.

## BEC simulation - 3



Hiflin the Universiy of Arzona.

## BEC simulation - 4



Hifin The Unversty of Arzona.

## BEC simulation - 5



The UNIVERSTY OF ARIZONA.

## BEC simulation - 6



## Success !

Hiflin ine Universiy of Arzona.

## Another example BEC simulation - 1



The Uninersty of Arzona.

## Another example BEC simulation - 2



The Uninersty of Arzona.

## BEC simulation -final



## Stuck !

(f) The Unversty of Arzona.

## Decoding failures

- A BEC iterative decoder fails to converge to a codeword (correct or wrong) if at any iteration there is no check node connected to less than one erased variable node.
- A graph induced by such set of check nodes is called a stopping set.


## Combinatorial definition of a stopping set

- Consider a set $S$ of variable nodes.
- Let $N(S)$ be a set of all checks nodes connected to $S$.
- If smallest outdegree of nodes in $N(S)$ is two, then $S$ is a stopping set.

- Other channels such as BSC, AWGN do not have such combinatorial definition of a decoding failure.


## Iterative decoders for BSC

## Decoding on graphs on BSC

- Two basic types of algorithms:
- Bit flipping
- Message passing


## Bit flipping

- If more checks are unsatisfied than satisfied, flip the bit.
- Continue until all checks are satisfied



## Message passing

## - Steps:

- A variable node sends his value to all neighboring checks.
- A check computes XOR of all incoming messages and sends this along the edges, but it excludes the message on the edge the result is send along!
- Variable takes a majority vote of incoming messages and sends this along, if tie, sends its original value



## Gallager A/B algorithm

- The Gallager A/B algorithms are hard decision decoding algorithms in which all the messages are binary.
- With a slight abuse of the notation, let $\left|\varpi_{* \rightarrow i}=m\right|$ denote the number of incoming messages to $i$ which are equal to $m \in\{0,1\}$. Associated with every decoding round $k$ and variable degree $d_{i}$ is a threshold $b_{k, d_{i}}$.
- The Gallager B algorithm is defined as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{i \rightarrow \alpha}^{(0)} & =y_{i} \\
\varpi_{\alpha \rightarrow i}^{(k)} & =\left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \backslash i} \omega_{j \rightarrow \alpha}^{(k-1)}\right) \bmod 2 \\
\omega_{i \rightarrow \alpha}^{(k)} & = \begin{cases}1, & \text { if }\left|\varpi_{*}^{(k)}\right| \alpha \rightarrow i \\
0, & \text { if }\left|\varpi_{* \backslash \alpha \rightarrow i}^{(k)}=1\right| \geq b_{k, d_{i}} \\
y_{i}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Gallager A/B algorithm

- The Gallager A algorithm is a special case of the Gallager B algorithm with $b_{k, d_{i}}=d_{i}-1$ for all $k$.
- At the end of each iteration, a decision on the value of each variable node is made based on all the incoming messages and possibly the received value.


## General iterative decoders

- An iterative decode D is defined as a 4-tuple given by

$$
\mathrm{D}=\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Y}, \Phi_{v}, \Phi_{c}\right)
$$

- $\mathcal{M}$ is a set the message values are confined to
- $\mathcal{Y}$ is the set of channel values
- The function $\Phi_{c}: \mathcal{M}^{d_{c}-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ used for update at a check node with degree $d_{c}$.
- The function $\Phi_{v}: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{M}^{d_{v}-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is the update function used at a variable node with degree $d_{v}$.


## Decoders as dynamical systems

- Let ${ }^{(k)}$ be the vector of messages along all edges in the Tanner graph in the $k$-th iteration, and y the received vector, then an iterative decoderD on the Tanner graph $G$ can be seen as a dynamical system

$$
\mathbf{v}^{(k)}=F\left(\mathbf{v}^{(k-1)}, \mathbf{y}\right)
$$

- Such dynamical system may have a chaotic behavior
- When alphabets are finite, a decoder is a finite state machine, with a very large state space.
- The trajectory $\mathrm{v}^{(0)}, \mathrm{v}^{(1)}, \mathrm{v}^{(2)} \ldots$ converge either to a fixed point or exhibits oscillations around attractor points in the state space.
- The attractor structure is defined by $G$ and D .


## Attractors of iterative decoders



## Trajectory examples

## - Bit flipping decoder




## Trajectory types

- Fixed point
- Cyclic

- Cyclic with a large period



## An example of a trajectory








## Failures of iterative decoders

## Error floor



## Locality of decoding



## A motivating example

- Consider a six cycle in a 3-variable regular Tanner Graph.
- Assume the channel introduces three errors exactly on the variable nodes in the cycle.
- Also the assume that the neighborhood of the subgraph does not influence the messages propagated within the subgraph (condition to be explained later)
- Gallager - A fails for such error pattern.
- By adding an extra bit in the message, the decoder can succeed.


## Gallager - A iteration 1



THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA.

## Gallager - A iteration 2



## A trapping set illustration



- Corrupt variable

O Correct variable

- Variable decoded correctly
- Variable decoded wrongly


## A trapping set illustration



- Corrupt variable

O Correct variable

- Variable decoded correctly
- Variable decoded wrongly


## Oscillations in the decoder



- Corrupt variable

O Correct variable

- Variable decoded correctly
- Variable decoded wrongly


## Oscillations in the decoder



- Corrupt variable

O Correct variable

- Variable decoded correctly
- Variable decoded wrongly


## Oscillations in the decoder



- Corrupt variable

O Correct variable

- Variable decoded correctly
- Variable decoded wrongly


## Oscillations in the decoder



- Corrupt variable

O Correct variable

- Variable decoded correctly
- Variable decoded wrongly


## Oscillations in the decoder



- Corrupt variable

O Correct variable

- Variable decoded correctly
- Variable decoded wrongly


## Concept of a trapping set


$(3,3)$ trapping set

$(5,3)$ trapping set


## Some ways to construct LDPC codes

## LDPC codes - combinatorial designs

- Affine partial geometry $L=\{(x, y): 0 \leq x \leq k-1,0 \leq y \leq m-1\}$
- m-a prime
- Blocks: the lines starting at points $(0, a)$ with slopes $s$
- ( $0 \leq a, s \leq m-1$ )
- each point incident with exactly $m$ blocks
- m² blocks
- Example: $k=3, \quad m=5$

| $\mathrm{s}=0$ |  |  | $\mathrm{~s}=1$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{~s}=2$ |  |  | $\mathrm{~s}=3$ |  |  | $\mathrm{~s}=4$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 10 |  |  |
| 2 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| 3 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 7 |  |  |
| 4 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 8 |  |  |
| 5 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 9 |  |  |



## Integer lattice codes

|  | $s=0$ | $s=1$ |  |  |  |  | $s=2$ |  | $s=3$ |  | $s=4$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 14 |
| 2 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 6 | 15 |
| 3 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 7 | 11 |
| 4 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 8 | 12 |
| 5 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 9 | 13 |

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{lllll:lllll:lllll:lllll:lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Affine and projective planes-example

Projective Plane


## Cyclic difference families

- We can think of the actions of the group $V$ as a partitioning $B$ into classes or orbits.
- Example: $(13,3,1) \mathrm{CDF}, Z_{13}$
- Base blocks $B_{1}=\{0,1,4\}$ and $B_{2}=\{0,2,7\}$

| $B_{1}$ orbits |  |  |  | $B_{2}$ orbits |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $b_{11}+g$ | $b_{12}+g$ | $b_{13}+g$ | $b_{21}+g$ | $b_{22}+g$ | $b_{23}+g$ |  |
| 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 7 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 8 |  |
| 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 9 |  |
| 3 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 10 |  |
| 4 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 11 |  |
| 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 12 |  |
| 6 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 0 |  |
| 7 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 1 |  |
| 8 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 2 |  |
| 9 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 3 |  |
| 10 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 12 | 4 |  |
| 11 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 5 |  |
| 12 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 6 |  |

$H=\left[\left.\begin{array}{llllllllllll:llllllllllllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$

## Protograph based codes

- A protograph is a small Tanner graph.
- Example (Thorpe):
- $|V|=4$ variable nodes and $|C|=3$ check nodes, connected by $|E|=8$ edges.

- In this case Tanner graph of an ( $n=4, k=1$ ) LDPC code (in this case, a repetition code).
- Double edges are allowed



## Protograph codes



$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$



## Parity check masking

- Start from a quasi-cyclic code and force some blocks to be zeros (in the Tanner graph, disconnect groups of checks and variables)
$H=\left[\left.\begin{array}{lllll:lllll:lllll:lllll:lllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$



## Decoding by belief propagation

## Crossword puzzles

- Iterate!


Across:

4 Animal with long ears and a short tail. 10 Person who is in charge of a country. 12 In no place.

Down:

5 Pointer, weapon fired from a bow.
6 Accept as true.
7 A place to shoot at; objective.

## Decoders for channels with soft outputs

- In addition to the channel value, a measure of bit reliability is also provided

- Bit log-likelihood ratio given $y_{\text {i. }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(x_{i}\right) & =\log \frac{P\left(x_{i}=0 \mid y_{i}\right)}{P\left(x_{i}=1 \mid y_{i}\right)} \\
& =\log \frac{\frac{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=0\right) P\left(x_{i}=0\right)}{p\left(y_{i}\right)}}{\frac{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=1\right) P\left(x_{i}=1\right)}{p\left(y_{i}\right)}}=\log \frac{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=0\right) P\left(x_{i}=0\right)}{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=1\right) P\left(x_{i}=1\right)} \\
& =\log \frac{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=0\right)}{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=1\right)}+\log \frac{P\left(x_{i}=0\right)}{P\left(x_{i}=1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Log-likelihood ratio

- Without prior knowledge on $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$

$$
\gamma_{i}=\lambda\left(x_{i}\right)=\log \frac{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=0\right)}{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=1\right)}
$$

- For AWGN $\left(y_{i}=x_{i}+n_{i}, n_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)\right)$

$$
\gamma_{i}=\log \frac{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=0\right)}{p\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}=1\right)}=\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}-\left(y_{i}-1\right)^{2}+\left(y_{i}+1\right)^{2}=\frac{y_{i}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

- For BSC with parameter $\alpha$

$$
\gamma_{i}= \begin{cases}\log \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} & \text { if } y_{i}=0 \\ \log \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} & \text { if } y_{i}=1\end{cases}
$$

## Message-passing

- Soft outputs ( $x_{i}, \lambda_{i}$ )
- $x_{i}$ - an estimate of the $i^{\text {ith }}$ bit
- $\lambda_{\Gamma}$ belief, reliability, likelihood, likelihood ratio


## Example:




## Soft decoding example



$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\min (|-6|,|+2|,|-5|)=2 \\
& S_{1}=\operatorname{sign}(-6) \cdot \operatorname{sig} n(+2) \cdot \operatorname{sign}(-5)=+1 \\
& A_{1}=S_{1} \cdot M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
A_{0}=A_{0}+A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}
$$



## Side remark: some bits "voted" twice



[^2]
## The min-sum update rule

$\mu_{f \rightarrow x}(x)=\prod_{y \in \operatorname{E}_{n(f)}(x)} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\mu_{y \rightarrow f}\right) \min _{y \in n(f)(x)}\left|\mu_{y \rightarrow f}\right|$

$$
g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\lambda\left(x_{i}\right)+\sum_{h \in n\left(x_{i}\right)} \sum_{h \rightarrow x_{i}}
$$

## Derivation of the check update rule

- Given the log-likelihoods of $\left(x_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ find the loglikelihood of $y, L(y)$.


$$
\begin{gathered}
L(y)=\log \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{y=0\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{y=1\}}=\log \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{\# " 1 " \text { in } x \text { is even }\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{\# " 1 " \text { in } x \text { is odd }\}} \\
L(y) \simeq \prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \cdot \sum_{1 \leq j \leq m}\left|\lambda_{j}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

## Derivation of the check update rule

Bernoulli trials: $\operatorname{Pr}\{x=0\}=q, \quad \operatorname{Pr}\{x=1\}=p$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{+} p^{m}=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq m}^{m} p_{j}^{j} \cdot q^{m^{-j}} \\
& q^{-} p^{m}=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq m}(-1)^{j}{ }_{j}^{m} p^{j} \cdot q^{m^{-j}} \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left\{\#^{\prime \prime} 1 " \text { in } x \text { is even }\right\}=\frac{1}{2} q^{+} p^{m}+q^{-} p^{m} \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\{\# " 1 " \text { in } x \text { is odd }\}=\frac{1}{2} q^{+} p^{m}-q^{-} p^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Generalization :
$\operatorname{Pr}\left\{x_{j}=0\right\}=q_{j}, \quad \operatorname{Pr}\left\{x_{j}=0\right\}=p_{j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m$
$\operatorname{Pr}\{\#$ " 1 " in $x$ is even $\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} q_{j}+p_{j}+\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} q_{j}-p_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} q_{j}-p_{j}\right)$
$\operatorname{Pr}\{\#$ "1" in $x$ is odd $\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} q_{j}+p_{j}-\prod_{1 \leq \leq_{m}} q_{j}-p_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\prod_{1 \leq \leq_{m}} q_{j}-p_{j}\right)$

## Derivation of the check update rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(y) & =\log \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{y=0\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{y=1\}}=\log \frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{\# " 1 " \text { in } x \text { is even }\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{\# " 1 " \text { in } x \text { is odd }\}} \\
& =\log \frac{1+\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda_{j}}}{1+e^{\lambda_{j}}}-\frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda_{j}}}\right)}{1-\prod_{1 \leq \leq_{j}}\left(\frac{e^{\lambda_{j}}}{1+e^{\lambda_{j}}}-\frac{1}{1+e^{\lambda_{j}}}\right)} \\
& =\log \frac{1+\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \frac{e^{\lambda_{j}}-1}{e_{j}+1}}{1-\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \frac{e^{\lambda_{j}}-1}{e^{\lambda_{j}+1}}} \\
L(y) & =2 \operatorname{artanh}\left(\prod_{1 \leq \leq_{m}} \tanh \left(\frac{l_{j}}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(y)=\log \frac{1+\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \frac{e^{\lambda_{j} / 2}-e^{-\lambda_{j} / 2}}{1-\prod_{1 \leq{ }_{j} \leq m}+e^{-\lambda_{j} / 2}} \frac{e^{\lambda_{j} / 2}-e^{-\lambda_{j} / 2}}{e^{\lambda_{j} / 2}+e^{-\lambda_{j} / 2}}}{1} \\
& =\log \frac{1+\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tanh \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{2}\right)}{1-\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tanh \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{2}\right)} \\
& =2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log \frac{1+\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tanh \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{2}\right)}{1-\prod_{1 \leq \leq_{j}} \tanh \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{2}\right)} \\
& =2 \operatorname{artanh}\left(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tanh \left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Min-sum approximation

- $\phi(x)=-\log \tanh (x / 2)=\log \left(\left(e^{x}+1\right) /\left(e^{x}-1\right)\right)=\phi^{-1}(x)$


## Sum-product algorithm (Kschischang et. al.)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{x \rightarrow f}(x)=\prod_{h \in h_{n(x) \backslash\{f\}}} \mu_{h \rightarrow x}(x) \\
& \mu_{f \rightarrow x}(x)=\sum_{\sim\{x\}}\left\{f(X) \prod_{h \in n(f) \backslash(x)} \mu_{y \rightarrow f}(y)\right) \\
& g_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\prod_{h \in n\left(x_{i}\right)} \mu_{h \rightarrow x_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The sum-product algorithm

- The update rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{i \rightarrow \alpha}^{(0)} & =\gamma_{i} \\
\varpi_{\alpha \rightarrow i}^{(k)} & =2 \tanh ^{-1}\left(\prod_{j \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \backslash i} \tanh \left(\frac{1}{2} \omega_{j \rightarrow \alpha}^{(k-1)}\right)\right) \\
\omega_{i \rightarrow \alpha}^{(k)} & =\gamma_{i}+\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}(i) \backslash \alpha} \varpi_{\delta \rightarrow i}^{(k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The result of decoding after $k$ iterations, denoted by $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$
- is determined by the sign of

$$
m_{i}^{(k)}=\gamma_{i}+\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{N}(i)} \varpi_{\alpha \rightarrow i}^{(k)}
$$

- If $m_{i}^{(k)}>0$ then $x_{i}^{(k)}=0$ otherwise $x_{i}^{(k)}=1$


## The min-sum algorithm

- In the limit of high SNR, when the absolute value of the messages is large, the sum-product becomes the minsum algorithm, where the message from the check $\beta$ to the bit $i$ looks like:

$$
\varpi_{\beta \rightarrow i}^{(k)}=\min \left|\omega_{* \backslash i \rightarrow \beta}^{(k-1)}\right| \cdot \prod_{j \in \mathcal{N}(\beta) \backslash i} \operatorname{sign}\left(\omega_{j \rightarrow \beta}^{(k-1)}\right)
$$
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