



IEEE Information Theory Magazine - New Journal Survey Report

Second Quarter 2019

Requested by: IEEE Technical Activities
Report Prepared by: IEEE Strategic Research

Notes and Recommendations for the Survey Report

This report is the property of the IEEE. The report contains proprietary information of IEEE and is solely for the use of IEEE Volunteers and Staff. Individual responses are confidential. If there is any personally identifiable information anywhere in this report, especially in the open-ended comments, please be sure to maintain that confidentiality.

There are two sections in which data is summarized in this report; key findings and frequency tables.

The key findings section summarizes the data using narrative, tables, and graphs. Findings are ordered in a way that makes sense for the reader; therefore, questions do not necessarily follow the order in which they come in the frequency report or survey instrument.

The frequency tables section contains summary tables and graphs for each question (or variable) measured in the study. The order of the report is the order in which the questions were presented to the respondents. When making presentations, you may want to re-order these.

Scale questions typically have both a table with numbers and a graph. For presentations, you may want to delete the tables and present only the graphs. Data presented in tables also typically includes both the raw numbers and the percentages. For presentations, you may want to only present one of these, depending on your particular study.

Open-ended questions include all answers by all respondents, without editing or spell-checking. You may want to move these to the end, edit, or pull out example quotes for use in a presentation.

Abstract

The purpose of the IEEE Information Theory Magazine New Journal Survey was to assess interest in a new publication. 1,543 IEEE members from IEEE Information Theory Society were surveyed using a self-administered, online questionnaire. *Results:* More than eight in ten indicated they were likely to read, while about four in ten are likely to submit to this publication.

Methodology

Operating Unit: Technical Activities

Requester: Meena Shanmugavel

Purpose: To assess interest in a new publication

Data Collection Started: 13 June 2019

Data Collection Ended: 27 June 2019

Sample: 1,543 IEEE members from IEEE Information Theory Society

Response: 342 – Response rate of 21%

Margin of Error: ± 5.0 (i.e., one can be 95% confident that the true answer lies within ± 5.0 percentage points of the finding)

Key Findings

Read this publication: Among all respondents, more than eight in ten (83%) said they were likely to read this publication, while fewer than one in ten (6%) said they were not likely.

Among those who said they were not likely to read, more than four in ten (42%) said the publication is not relevant to their work. About a quarter (26%) said the scope is outside their areas of expertise. More than one in ten said that other publications provide more useful information (16%), the scope is too narrow (11%), or that they do not have time to read publications (11%).

Submit to this publication: Among all respondents, more than four in ten (42%) said they were likely to submit to this publication, while a third (33%) said they were not likely and 25% were neutral.

Nearly seven in ten (70%) have submitted at least one peer-reviewed article for publication in the last five years. Among those who have, about four in ten (41%) indicated they are likely to submit within twelve months of its launch.

Among those who said they were not likely to submit, nearly four in ten (37%) said they may be interested, but want to hear more first. About a quarter indicated prefer to publish in journals with established impact factor or they are currently not writing manuscripts (23%, respectively).

Relevance of Subject Matter

More than three quarters (78%) indicated the overall subject will still be important in 10 years. Slightly fewer (77%) said the subject matter is growing. Three in four (75%) indicated that the publication would be useful to them professionally. Just over one in ten (13%) said there are already too many publications in this subject matter. *For more information, please see Table 1 below.*

Table 1 - Perception of Journal Statement	
Perception	Percent Agreed
The subject matter will still be important in 10 years	78%
Overall, the subject matter is growing	77%
This publication would be useful to me professionally	75%
There are already too many publications in the subject matter	13%

Content was the most significant factor in determining whether respondents would personally subscribe to this publication, with more than six in ten (64%) agreeing that it is a factor. Content beat out reputation of the publication (cited by nearly four in ten, at 38%) as the most significant factor. Just below that was cost, cited by just over a third (36%). *For more information, please see Table 2.*

Table 2: Factors in Subscribing to Journal	
Reason	Percent
Content	64%
Reputation of publication	38%
Cost	36%
Free time	21%
Other (please specify)	4%

Demographics

About four in ten (40%) live in the United States, and about a quarter (27%) live in the Asia and Pacific. Just over two in ten (22%) live in Europe.

Half work as faculty: 42% at an academic institution with a research emphasis, and 8% work as faculty at an academic institution with an education emphasis. Another 6% work as research staff at a research institute or foundation. Just over one in ten work for a private company – 9% as R&D Staff and 4% as Managers. Just over than one in ten (10%) are full-time students.

Two-thirds (67%) of respondents' employers have more than 500 employees in their organization. More than one in ten (14%) have between 101 and 500. Less than one in ten (9%) have 10 or fewer employees.

Among years of professional experience, nearly three in ten (29%) have 10 or fewer years, while nearly four in ten (38%) have 25 years or more. More than one in ten (16%) have between 11 and 20 years.

More than seven in ten (71%) have earned their PhD or equivalent degree, and nearly two in ten (18%) possess a Master's Degree as their highest degree.


Appendix A – Frequency Tables

Default Report

2017 New Journal Survey – IEEE Information Theory Magazine

June 27, 2019 10:31 AM MDT

Q1 - How likely or not likely are you to read or submit a manuscript to this publication?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Read this publication	1.0	65.0	64.0	3.5	12.6	343
2	Submit to this publication	1.0	65.0	62.9	3.6	13.2	337

#	Field	Not at all likely1	2	3	4	Very likely5	Total
1	Read this publication	2.9%	3.2%	10.8%	33.6%	49.4%	342
2	Submit to this publication	16.7%	16.7%	24.7%	22.6%	19.3%	336

Showing rows 1 - 2 of 2

Q2 - You indicated that you are not likely to read this publication. Which of the following most closely match your reasons? (Please select all that apply.)



#	Field	Percentage
1	This publication is not relevant to my work	42.1%
2	Scope is outside my area(s) of expertise	26.3%
3	Scope is too broad	0.0%
4	Scope is too narrow	10.5%
5	Other publications provide more useful information	15.8%
6	I will not have access to the publication	5.3%
7	I do not have time to read publications	10.5%
8	Other (please specify)	21.1%

19

Showing rows 1 - 9 of 9

Q2_8_TEXT - Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)


there are too many publications already

Retired

I am largely retired

Retired from info Theory work.

Q3 - Within the last five years, have you submitted one or more peer-reviewed article(s) for publication?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Within the last five years, have you submitted one or more peer-reviewed article(s) for publication?	1.0	2.0	1.3	0.5	0.2	336

#	Field	Percentage
1	Yes	69.9%
2	No	30.1%
		336

Showing rows 1 - 3 of 3

Q4 - How likely or not likely are you to submit a manuscript to this publication within twelve months of its launch?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How likely or not likely are you to submit a manuscript to this publication within twelve months of its launch?	1.0	5.0	3.3	1.1	1.1	219

#	Field	Percentage
1	Not at all likely 1	5.0%
2	2	16.4%
3	3	37.9%
4	4	25.6%
5	Very likely 5	15.1%
		219

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q5 - Which of the following reasons, if any, indicate why you will not submit manuscripts to the proposed publication? Please select all that apply.



#	Field	Percentage
1	Scope is outside my area(s) of expertise	7.0%
2	I prefer to publish in journals with established impact factor	23.3%
3	I may be interested, but I want to hear more first (please specify)	37.2%
4	Prefer open access journals	7.0%
5	I do not publish in IEEE journals	0.0%
6	I am not currently writing manuscripts	23.3%
7	Other (please specify)	30.2%
8	None of the above/I do not know	7.0%

43

Showing rows 1 - 9 of 9

Q5_7_TEXT - Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)

Often no time to write survey or review papers

Busy With Work

Depends on what I am funded to do

Nor working n the area now.

busy with other stuff

I will be retired

currently unemployed

I retired in Mrach 2019.


retired

Will the magazine be more open to new ideas or emphasize EXACTLY what the IT Transactions do?

retired Professor

I am busy preparing articles to submit to other journals.

Q6 - Please rate how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about this publication's subject matter.



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Overall, the subject matter is growing	1.0	5.0	4.1	0.9	0.8	327
2	This publication would be useful to me professional	1.0	5.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	328
3	The subject matter will still be important in 10 years	1.0	5.0	4.1	0.9	0.9	329
4	There are already too many publications in the subject matter	1.0	5.0	2.3	1.1	1.1	328

#	Field	1	2	3	4	5	Total
1	Overall, the subject matter is growing	1.5%	4.6%	16.8%	41.0%	36.1%	327
2	This publication would be useful to me professional	2.1%	6.4%	16.5%	38.1%	36.9%	328
3	The subject matter will still be important in 10 years	1.5%	4.3%	16.4%	35.9%	41.9%	329

#	Field	1	2	3	4	5	Total
4	There are already too many publications in the subject matter	24.1%	36.9%	26.2%	8.2%	4.6%	328

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q7 - You agree that there are too many publications in this subject matter. Please specify what the primary publications in this subject matter are.

You agree that there are too many publications in this subject matter. Plea...

MS, MISQ

IEEE Trans Information Theory

At the moment in the area of the information theory exist Chinese, Indian and Russian magazines.

ICML, NIPS, AAAI, etc.

IEEE transactions on information theory, JSAIT, IT Society Newsletter

JMLR, Trans SP, PAMI, Trans IT, a large number of conferences.

Spectral efficiencies in next generation networks

IT Governance, IT Service Management, IT Innovation Adoption, Enterprise Architecture

Journal on Selected Areas in Information Theory (JSAIT)


IEEE Transactions on Information Theory / Communications

The fact that there is even one (the Transactions on Information Theory) suffices. There was also a newsletter. And another transactions on special topics on Information Theory is just starting. The field is dwindling as Data Science is emerging to take its place.

Transactions on Information Theory, Transactions on Communication, Transactions on Signam Processing

IEEE SPMAG. IEEE T-IT

Q8 - Which of the following, if any, would be the most significant factors in whether or not you personally subscribe to this publication?



#	Field	Percentage
1	Cost	21.9%
2	Content	39.5%
3	Reputation of publication	23.2%
4	Free time	13.2%
5	Other (please specify)	2.3%
		517

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q8_5_TEXT - Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)

already retired

Accessibility to laypersons

I let my university library subscribe

none

not having outer-space articles written by prof needing his 4 pubs a year

Must go to Warren Weaver's Level B and C, from IT's Level A

Other (please specify)

all of the above


Diversity of topics covered

age

Time of review

There are a lot of things to read already.

Q9 - Where do you live?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Where do you live?	1.0	7.0	3.8	1.7	2.9	321

#	Field	Percentage
1	Europe	22.1%
2	Middle East	4.4%
3	Africa	0.0%
4	Asia and Pacific	26.8%
5	United States	40.2%
6	Latin America	3.4%
7	Canada	3.1%
		321

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q10 - Which one of the following categories best describes your type of employer and job function?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Which one of the following categories best describes your type of employer and job function? - Selected Choice	1.0	14.0	6.5	4.1	17.1	322

#	Field	Percentage
1	Research Institute/Foundation -- Management/Administration	1.2%
2	Research Institute/Foundation -- Research Staff	5.6%
3	Academic Institution -- Faculty (Research Emphasis)	41.6%
4	Academic Institution -- Faculty (Education Emphasis)	8.4%
5	Academic Institution -- Administration	0.6%
6	Government -- Manager	0.3%
7	Government -- Policy Staff	0.3%
8	Government -- R&D Staff	1.2%
9	Private Company -- Manager	4.0%
10	Private Company -- R&D Staff	9.3%
11	Retired	10.9%
12	Full-time Student	10.2%
13	Unemployed	1.6%
14	Other (please specify)	4.7%
		322

Showing rows 1 - 15 of 15

Q10_14_TEXT - Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)

Retiring Owner R&D Private Co.

Private Company - Software Development

retired University professor

Self employed

Information Architecture & Governance & Business Architecture Consultant

Research fellow

Commercial

Other (please specify)

retired but still involved

consultant

Adviser


Academic institution -- administrator, teacher, and researcher

Utility- Gas Utility - Municipally Owned

Officially retired, but still interested and participating in some projects, primarily around amateur radio

Retired univ. prof. still active in research

Q11 - Which of the following best describes the number of employees in your organization?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Which of the following best describes the number of employees in your organization?	1.0	5.0	4.2	1.3	1.7	248

#	Field	Percentage
1	10 or fewer	8.9%
2	11 to 50	5.6%
3	51 to 100	4.8%
4	101 to 500	14.1%
5	More than 500	66.5%
		248

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q12 - What is your highest level of education?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What is your highest level of education? - Selected Choice	1.0	7.0	5.7	0.8	0.6	318

#	Field	Percentage
1	High school	0.6%
2	Some college	0.6%
3	Associate Degree	0.3%
4	Bachelor's Degree	5.3%
5	Master's Degree	18.2%
6	PhD or equivalent	71.4%
7	Other (please specify)	3.5%
		318

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q12_7_TEXT - Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)

Other (please specify)

DSc

DrSc

plus Post Doc

Dr. Sc.

post doctoral studies

dr.phil.


Completely uneducated

Magister of Science

PhD and DSc degrees

MA + ABD

Q13 - How many years of professional experience do you have in your field?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How many years of professional experience do you have in your field?	1.0	7.0	4.5	2.4	5.6	319

#	Field	Percentage
1	5 or fewer	15.0%
2	6 to 10	14.1%
3	11 to 15	11.9%
4	16 to 20	4.1%
5	21 to 25	7.8%
6	25 to 30	8.8%
7	More than 30	38.2%
		319

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q14 - If you have any other comments or suggestions on this proposed Journal, please indicate them here.

If you have any other comments or suggestions on this proposed Journal, ple...

An IT Magazine is a great idea, long overdue.

Closing business I founded in 1987 and regularly used IEEE Trans. on: A&P, Comms., and when need arose I.T. Now read or skim articles of personal interest in these 3 Trans. as time permits.

IT is in a class of its own. I am 84 years old. I still write patents relevant to the field of wireless communications. I read the abstract, intro and conclusions of those articles of interest to me. That is; 1 to 2 each issue.

Would be nice if it were completely open access.

It is very likely that this new journal cannot survive. The IT society should improve the quality of IEEE Trans. IT, which is getting worse and worse.

Consider include Natural Language Processing

Can't wait to read it.

Usually IEEE Magazine journals provide a way to cover topics of emerging interest in a tutorial manner. This kind of high-level survey type coverage is really missing in the IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, where all pubs tend to be very narrow and overly technical. I hope the magazine does not look like the Transactions. Look at IEEE Signal Processing Magazine for a successful example worth emulating.

Despite of the "dense environment" of similar resources, I believe that the new IEEE Information Theory Journal will be a flagship for the world communication and computer society.

It would be useful and welcome addition to the field.

I think it is a great idea. The Communications Society Magazine used to be great, but it has gone downhill in the last 10 years or so. (It was free with society membership, which would be great for an IT magazine as well.)

It sounds very interesting. I might be interested in being on the editorial board. It would be good to keep this on earth and not have worthless articles written by people looking to get their 4 pubs a year. I am a decision scientist and the best decisions are made based on knowledge and information. Information is a major part of this. The path is first data, then information, then knowledge, and finally action.

Good luck!

.

"Recent Contributions to The Mathematical Theory of Communication," by Warren Weaver. September, 1949, is critical for positioning the magazine, In particular, section 1.2 Three Levels of Communications Problems. Maybe the Journal should be an effort combined with the IEEE Communication Society and the IEEE Technology and Engineering Management Society, to address the technical, semantic, and effectiveness problems.

just be top notch in quality and give chance to everyone to submit. Ill probably try to publish something in future

There is the potential for the IT Society Magazine to be widely appreciated within the IEEE membership.

If you have any other comments or suggestions on this proposed Journal, ple...

Information theorist should study information theory. There are too many people who are studying machine learning.

The IT Society is too small to support this additional publication

No other comments.

Connecting the basic theory and applications will be the gist of the journal.

This proposal would be a significant and very welcome development of the current Info Theory Newsletter, and it would counteract the excessively theoretical nature of the Info Theory Transactions.

I am happy to see this initiative. Information theory and related applications should be presented in a more visible, readable and understandable way to the researchers and professionals in highly dispersed areas. Once more, best wishes with this initiative.

I am a fan of magazines with well written articles that are meant to be read by a reasonable fraction of the subscribers to the magazine.

I'm not sure how different it is from Transactions in Information Theory. But a second journal on Info Theory is always welcome.

Thank you very much, Mark

PLEASE let me know in some form if this journal gets published. My research may be of interest to this topic in information security.

Subjective proposal: To increase attention to applications of Shannon's Inf. Theory. Not only excellent mathematical proofs but what their results add to practice. This will decrease the gap between the academy and engineering research.

I hope its review process is not as slow as IEEE Trans. on Information Theory!

The IT Society already has a similar publication; I assume this is a newsletter and that you mean a true magazine. ITS publications have tended to be too narrow in scope, too mathematical, and too focused on work of middle-career academics, who are the people who run the society. The main transaction has strong prestige but few readers, and it tends to lose out to COMSOC publications. It would be a pleasant surprise if ITS could succeed with a magazine, but it is a crowded marketplace and I think they do not have access to the right editorial talent.

It needs to be readable by intelligent non-experts. It needs to have a relatively short review cycle. It should allow for electronic delivery and distribution.

A succeeding content to "Golomb's Puzzle" will be interesting.

This type of magazine will enable me to keep up a little better than I otherwise could at this point in life.

This is a great initiative that has the potential to increase the impact of the IT society by promoting the use of ideas and results of information theory in new applications. It also offers the possibility of increasing the visibility of this society in other disciplines and encouraging new collaborations and areas of impact.

Information Theory related publications MUST be of top level

I strongly recommend IEEE to have such a publication.

This magazine needs some editors who are not the same as the editors for the IT Transactions in order for the Magazine to provide broader insights into the field.

If you have any other comments or suggestions on this proposed Journal, ple...

The concept of "magazines" (as others of IEEE) does seem successful for me. They do not contain really important information. I am subscribed to IEEE magazines of different suborganizations, but I do not read them.

Tutorial material would be most welcome

Some papers should include introductory or tutorial papers as well as new ideas/applications that could become important (or more important) in the near future (3-5 years).

The Society is small and its finances are unstable. Recent destructive actions within the Society will have further consequences for its future.

It's a great idea. The community would benefit from a tutorial magazine in the general area of information theory.

I would prefer that the magazine have a mostly academic/scholarly focus. Of the things mentioned in the description above, I would not be interested in cartoons or articles about start-ups, for example.

I believe this is a good idea and IEEE should go ahead initiate it.

I believe this journal will be very well received by the community.

Yes - rescue IEEE from the deadly mediocrity of practitioners and open it up to theoreticians, as it once was.

Please make and enforce rigorously the condition for acceptance that papers be well written.

This will be a useful magazine.

End of Report

2017 New Journal Survey – IEEE Information Theory Magazine

Start of Block: Default Question Block

We are interested in determining your interest in this new publication. Please read the scope, and then take a few moments to complete the questionnaire. The IEEE Information Theory Magazine publishes content that spans from tutorial and review articles, to introductions to emerging topics, historical surveys and columns. The mission of the Magazine is to increase the visibility of the high-quality content generated within the Information Theory Society, make it more accessible to a wide audience of scientists, engineers, researchers and educators, and highlight the rich interdisciplinary work of the community. Tutorial articles on all topics within the field of Interest of the Society are welcome, for example, in traditional areas such as coding theory, Shannon theory and source coding, but also emerging areas such as machine learning, biology, cyber physical systems and quantum computing. The columns include topics such as perspectives from funding agencies, startups and industry developments, puzzles and cartoons, reporting on events, and community updates. We appreciate your thoughtful assistance. For questions related to the survey, please contact:

Michael M. Wehrman, Ph.D.
Manager, IEEE Strategic Research
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA
+1 732 565 5421, phone
+1 732 981 9515, fax
m.wehrman@ieee.org

Q1 How likely or not likely are you to read or submit a manuscript to this publication?

	Not at all likely1 (61)	2 (62)	3 (63)	4 (64)	Very likely5 (65)
Read this publication (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Submit to this publication (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Display This Question:

If How likely or not likely are you to read or submit a manuscript to this publication? = Read this publication [Not at all likely1]

Or How likely or not likely are you to read or submit a manuscript to this publication? = Read this publication [2]

Q2 You indicated that you are not likely to read this publication. Which of the following most closely match your reasons? (Please select all that apply.)

- This publication is not relevant to my work (1)
 - Scope is outside my area(s) of expertise (2)
 - Scope is too broad (3)
 - Scope is too narrow (4)
 - Other publications provide more useful information (5)
 - I will not have access to the publication (6)
 - I do not have time to read publications (7)
 - Other (please specify) (8)
-

Q3 Within the last five years, have you submitted one or more peer-reviewed article(s) for publication?

- Yes (1)
 - No (2)
-

Display This Question:

If How likely or not likely are you to read or submit a manuscript to this publication? = Submit to this publication [3]

Or How likely or not likely are you to read or submit a manuscript to this publication? = Submit to this publication [4]

Or How likely or not likely are you to read or submit a manuscript to this publication? = Submit to this publication [Very likely5]

Q4 How likely or not likely are you to submit a manuscript to this publication within twelve months of its launch?

- Not at all likely 1 (1)
- 2 (2)
- 3 (3)
- 4 (4)
- Very likely 5 (5)

Display This Question:

If How likely or not likely are you to submit a manuscript to this publication within twelve months... = Not at all likely 1

Or How likely or not likely are you to submit a manuscript to this publication within twelve months... = 2

Q5 Which of the following reasons, if any, indicate why you will not submit manuscripts to the proposed publication? Please select all that apply.

- Scope is outside my area(s) of expertise (1)
 - I prefer to publish in journals with established impact factor (2)
 - I may be interested, but I want to hear more first (please specify) (3)
 - Prefer open access journals (4)
 - I do not publish in IEEE journals (5)
 - I am not currently writing manuscripts (6)
 - Other (please specify) (7)
-
- None of the above/I do not know (8)

Q6 Please rate how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about this publication's subject matter.

	1 (1)	2 (2)	3 (3)	4 (4)	5 (5)
Overall, the subject matter is growing (1)	<input type="radio"/>				
This publication would be useful to me professional (2)	<input type="radio"/>				
The subject matter will still be important in 10 years (3)	<input type="radio"/>				
There are already too many publications in the subject matter (4)	<input type="radio"/>				

Display This Question:

If Please rate how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about this publicati... = There are already too many publications in the subject matter [4]

Or Please rate how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statements about this publicati... = There are already too many publications in the subject matter [5]

Q7 You agree that there are too many publications in this subject matter. Please specify what the primary publications in this subject matter are.

Q8 Which of the following, if any, would be the most significant factors in whether or not you personally subscribe to this publication?

- Cost (1)
 - Content (2)
 - Reputation of publication (3)
 - Free time (4)
 - Other (please specify) (5)
-

Q9 Where do you live?

- Europe (1)
 - Middle East (2)
 - Africa (3)
 - Asia and Pacific (4)
 - United States (5)
 - Latin America (6)
 - Canada (7)
-

Q10 Which one of the following categories best describes your type of employer and job function?

- Research Institute/Foundation -- Management/Administration (1)
- Research Institute/Foundation -- Research Staff (2)
- Academic Institution -- Faculty (Research Emphasis) (3)
- Academic Institution -- Faculty (Education Emphasis) (4)
- Academic Institution -- Administration (5)
- Government -- Manager (6)
- Government -- Policy Staff (7)
- Government -- R&D Staff (8)
- Private Company -- Manager (9)
- Private Company -- R&D Staff (10)
- Retired (11)
- Full-time Student (12)
- Unemployed (13)
- Other (please specify) (14) _____

Display This Question:

If Which one of the following categories best describes your type of employer and job function? != Unemployed

And Which one of the following categories best describes your type of employer and job function? != Full-time Student

And Which one of the following categories best describes your type of employer and job function? != Retired

Q11 Which of the following best describes the number of employees in your organization?

- 10 or fewer (1)
 - 11 to 50 (2)
 - 51 to 100 (3)
 - 101 to 500 (4)
 - More than 500 (5)
-

Q12 What is your highest level of education?

- High school (1)
 - Some college (2)
 - Associate Degree (3)
 - Bachelor's Degree (4)
 - Master's Degree (5)
 - PhD or equivalent (6)
 - Other (please specify) (7) _____
-

Q13 How many years of professional experience do you have in your field?

- 5 or fewer (1)
- 6 to 10 (2)
- 11 to 15 (3)
- 16 to 20 (4)
- 21 to 25 (5)
- 25 to 30 (6)
- More than 30 (7)

Q14 If you have any other comments or suggestions on this proposed Journal, please indicate them here.

End of Block: Default Question Block
